IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 989 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 806 OF 2007

Gangaram Hanumant Jadhav ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Mr. V.V. Purwant for applicant.
Mr. A.S. Shitole, APP for State.

CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.

Date : October 16, 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard Mr. Purwant the learned counsel for the applicant. Perused the depositions of PW 1 - complainant and PW 2 - witness. PW 4 was the authority who had granted the sanction for the prosecution of the applicant. Mr. Purwant submitted that there was contradiction between the depositions of PW 1 and PW 2 and in support of this he has taken me through the reasoning set out in the impugned order of conviction and sentence and more particularly in para 16. Prima facie, I do not find any force in the

contentions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant. Prima facie it appears that the trap has been proved and an amount of Rs.3000/- was recovered from the pocket of the applicant (anthracin powder notes) I am satisfied that this is not a fit case to suspend the order of sentence and release the applicant on bail.

. Hence, the application is rejected.

(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)